

PRIORITIES

Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) Priority 1 Self-Reflection Tool

A local educational agency (LEA) uses the self-reflection tools included within the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tool as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted. The approved self-reflection tool for Priority 1 is provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA's Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp>.
- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school

- and at home, and
- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home: *

0

Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies): *

0

Criteria:

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for appropriately assigned teachers, access to curriculum-aligned instructional materials, and safe, clean and functional school facilities.

By the end of the 2023-24 school year the school had 66% of teachers with a preliminary or clear credential. Due to the continued nationwide teacher shortage, we faced challenges in staffing all teaching roles for the year and had to assign teachers with Intern or Provisional Internship Permits to classrooms. Our objective is to enhance the assistance provided to teachers in obtaining initial and permanent credentials and to assist them in staying in these roles through coaching and guidance. Our aim is to develop teachers' skills and guarantee that every student at RWWNHS is taught by a well-qualified, highly effective educator assigned to the correct subject area for each class.

PRIORITIES

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 2) – Implementation of State Academic Standards

This is the submission form for the local educational agency (school district, charter school, and county office of education) to complete on the local performance indicator for the implementation of state academic standards (Priority 2).

Standard: Local educational agency annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards and reports the results to its local governing board as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted and to educational partners and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

Instructions: Local educational agency measures its progress using one of the self-reflective tools below and reports the results to its local governing board as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted and through the Dashboard.

Local educational agencies may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, local educational agencies may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Option 1: Narrative Summary

In the narrative box, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the local educational agency is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education and briefly describe why the local educational agency chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the local educational agency's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts
- English Language Development (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science
- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Option 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the local educational agency's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts *

1 2 3 4 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards) *

1 2 3 4 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics *

1 2 3 4 5

Next Generation Science Standards *

1 2 3 4 5

History-Social Science *

1 2 3 4 5

2. Rate the local educational agency's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts*

1 2 3 4 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)*

1 2 3 4 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics*

1 2 3 4 5

Next Generation Science Standards*

1 2 3 4 5

History-Social Science*

1 2 3 4 5

3. Rate the local educational agency's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing)

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

English Language Arts – Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts*

1 2 3 4 5

English Language Development (Aligned to English Language Arts Standards)*

1 2 3 4 5

Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics*

1 2 3 4 5

Next Generation Science Standards*

1 2 3 4 5

History-Social Science*

1 2 3 4 5

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the local educational agency's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the State Board of Education for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Career Technical Education*

1 2 3 4 5 N/A

Health Education Content Standards*

1 2 3 4 5

Physical Education Model Content Standards*

1 2 3 4 5

Visual and Performing Arts*

1 2 3 4 5

World Language*

1 2 3 4 5 N/A

Support for Teachers and Administrators

Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole*

1 2 3 4 5

Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers *

1 2 3 4 5

Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered *

1 2 3 4 5

Criteria:

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on meeting the requirements for implementation of state academic standards.

Russell Westbrook Why Not High School has focused on building teacher capacity and effectiveness. The school has invested in high quality professional development and increased coaching structures. The retention of teachers and addition of new teachers has furthered the progress of CC Standards implementation.

PRIORITIES

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 3) – Parent and Family Engagement

This is the submission form for the local educational agency coordinator (school district, charter school, and county office) to complete on the local performance indicator for parent engagement (Priority 3).

Standard: The local educational agency (LEA) annually reports progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the California School Dashboard (Dashboard).

Criteria: The LEA will assess its performance on a (Met, Not Met, or Not Met for Two or More Years) scale.

Evidence: The LEA measures its progress using the self-reflection tool included in the Dashboard, and reports these results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard

Introduction: This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress, successes, and areas of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families. *

RWWNHS has demonstrated strength in building strong and positive relationships between and among families and staff members. Parent-team conferences are held every five weeks to align with progress reporting periods, and as requested by parent or teacher(s) to ensure that families are fully informed of students' progress in meeting A-G requirements for UC/CSU. In addition to monthly Parent/Community Town Hall Meetings, the school provides meaningful engagement through workshops focused on a range of topics including mental health and social emotional learning, College and Career Planning/Financial Aid planning, and Academic Awards events. The school plans to increase parental engagement with a Parent Hub, STEM workshops, and increased family volunteer opportunities. The office team provides a welcoming environment when parents enter campus with Spanish Language Support. Families have access to the Principal, Assistant Principal, and support team members. The school has extended outreach opportunities to provide struggling families with community resources.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families. *

Areas of growth include having a larger number of families attend school events, and extended outreach to parents who have never attended school activities/ events. This area of focus will involve individual calls and outreach by the Parent Coordinator. An area of emphasis was providing more opportunities for parents/caregivers to provide feedback about the Instructional Program and School Climate and Culture.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families. *

The school will continue to address low response rates to annual surveys through increased communication and outreach. This past year showed some progress in this area with an approximately 79% increase in responses.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes. *

RWWNHS provides a range of professional learning opportunities for leaders and teachers to improve relationships with families. The school is actively involved in the PBIS cohort through the LA County Office of Education which includes engagement in the community for all stakeholders. The school has effectively developed partnerships with community agencies to support struggling families impacted during the pandemic for mental health, food insecurity (food bank) and agencies that provide housing. The staff has been trained in meeting students and families social-emotional needs through trauma informed practice. The school has effectively established structures and systems that inform families of student progress, namely, tracking A-G Completion rates, and credits toward high school graduation. Parents of students who are credit deficient are immediately informed and involved in the intervention/credit recovery using the Apex online curriculum. Staff and families collaborate to improve student outcomes. Communication with teachers, counselors, support staff and leaders occurs daily.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes. *

Areas of growth include more structures and outreach to engage families of Multilingual Learners and SWD to increase their involvement in order to support learners. Initiatives to improve in this area include parent training and workshops that focus on learning about these areas.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes. *

The school will continue to address low response rates to annual surveys through increased communication and outreach. This past year showed some progress in this area with an approximately 79% increase in responses.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

3 – Initial Implementation

11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels. *

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4 – Full Implementation

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making. *

School leaders and staff engage in consistent improvement process opportunities to creatively engage families in decision making. The school currently offers multiple meeting times and dates for parents to participate. Phone calls and texts are sent out regularly to provide reminders to parents about these events.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making. *

The staff plans to increase the number of in-person events and activities, and to elicit increased family input related to the instructional program, safety, and learning conditions. The school will increase the number of offerings and restructure the way families are engaged through the following strategies; more frequent, school to home communication, provide more technology accessibility, shared accountability, share data with families, have parents select workshop topics, share positives as well as areas of growth.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making. *

The staff plans to increase the number of in-person events and activities, and to elicit increased family input related to the instructional program, safety, and learning conditions. The school will increase the number of offerings and restructure the way families are engaged through the following strategies; more frequent, school to home communication, provide more technology accessibility, shared accountability, share data with families, have parents select workshop topics, share positives as well as areas of growth.

PRIORITIES

Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) Priority 6 Self-Reflection Tool

A local educational agency (LEA) uses the self-reflection tools included within the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted. The approved self-reflection tool for Priority 6 is provided below.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

Introduction

The initial design of the Local Control Funding Formula recognized the critical role that positive school conditions and climate play in advancing student performance and equity. This recognition is grounded in a research base demonstrating that a positive school climate directly impacts indicators of success such as increased teacher retention, lower dropout rates, decreased incidences of violence, and higher student achievement.

In order to support comprehensive planning, LEAs need access to current data. The measurement of school climate provides LEAs with critical data that can be used to track progress in school climate for purposes of continuous improvement, and the ability to identify needs and implement changes to address local needs.

Instructions

LEAs are required, at a minimum, to annually administer a local climate survey. The survey must:

- Capture a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within each grade span the LEA serves (e.g. TK-5, 6-8, 9-12); and
- At a minimum, report disaggregated data by student groups identified in California *Education Code* 52052, when such data is available as part of the local school climate survey.

Prompt 1 (DATA): Describe the local climate survey data, including available data disaggregated by student groups. LEAs using surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, are encouraged to report the overall score for all students as well as available student group scores. Responses may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

Russell Westbrook Why Not? HS utilized the Panorama Survey platform to assess student's perceptions of school safety & connectedness. The data is as follows: School Belonging (How much students feel that they are valued members of the school community.)=28% School Climate (Perceptions of the overall social and learning climate of the school.)=41% School Engagement (How attentive and invested students are in school.)=27% School Safety (Perceptions of student physical and psychological safety at school.)=62% School Teacher-Student Relationships (How strong the social connection is between teachers and students within and beyond the school.)=41%

Prompt 2 (MEANING): Describe key learnings, including identified needs and areas of strength determined through the analysis of data described in Prompt 1, including the available data disaggregated by student group.

Westbrook High School students still struggle to feel a sense of belonging with the school, and in their relationships with staff, a trend that has been seen since the end of the pandemic. Positively, they are feeling a greater sense of safety at the school, which will be helpful to build upon to create the sense of belonging and connection.

Prompt 3 (USE): Describe any changes to existing plans, policies, or procedures that the LEA determines necessary in order to address areas of need identified through the analysis of local data and the identification of key learnings. Include any revisions, decisions, or actions the LEA has, or will, implement for continuous improvement purposes.

This indicates that the school needs to continue its work with supporting the social-emotional health of the students. To that end we will continue to provide social work and counseling support, as well as providing teachers with training in culturally responsive practices to better assist them in connecting with students from diverse backgrounds.

Criteria:

Optional: Provide any additional information that the local educational agency believes is relevant to understanding its progress on school climate.

PRIORITIES

Self-Reflection Tool (Priority 7) – Access to a Broad Course of Study

Standard: Local educational agencies (LEAs) annually measure their progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California *Education Code* for Grades 1–6 and Grades 7–12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs, and report the results to their local governing board as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Evidence: The LEA responds to the self-reflection tools as specified and reports the results to its local governing board as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted and through the local data selection option in the Dashboard.

Approach for Self-Reflection Tool to Use as Evidence

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

Russell Westbrook Why Not High School is operated by LA Promise Fund (LAPF), a nonprofit organization dedicated to preparing students for success in College, Career, and Life. In addition to managing Russell Westbrook Why Not High School and Russell Westbrook Why Not Middle School, LAPF provides resources and programs to support the school and program. Russell Westbrook Why Not High School currently serves 172 students in grade 9–12 of with student demographics that include: 84%

Hispanic, 16% African American, .6% American Indian, 33% English Learners (EL), 20% Students with Disabilities (SWD), 0% Foster Youth (FY), and 91% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED). Russell Westbrook Why Not? High School provides all students with access to a broad course of study in alignment with Ed Code 51210 (where applicable) based on our Educational Model. Teachers are supported in delivering rigorous, standards-aligned lessons that embed relevancy and social-emotional learning. The school provides College and Career Readiness through robust Career Technical Education (CTE) realized through a focus on Digital Media Arts and Entertainment and a related Pathway. Students participate in a Civic Action Project that will result in earning the State Seal of Civic Engagement by their senior year. All Seniors engage in and present a Capstone Project to culminate their learning. These elements of the instructional model prepare students for postsecondary success.

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study. LEAs may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

An analysis of the measures listed above demonstrates that 100% of the students, including unduplicated groups and students with exceptional needs, have access to a broad course of study. At Russell Westbrook Why Not? High School, all students in grades 9-12 have access to and are enrolled in English, Math, Social Science, Science, VAPA, Foreign Language, and Physical Education, including unduplicated students and students with exceptional needs. All students are enrolled in Promise Time (Advisory), which builds connectedness, addresses social-emotional learning, and provides College and Career Awareness with support from the College Counseling Team. Promise Time is structured and goal oriented and supports a positive school climate and culture. All students in grades 9-12 have access to and are enrolled in the following courses (based on student choice selection): UC A-G approved courses, AP courses, CTE Pathway. There are no differences in accessibility to courses, across student groups at the school.

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students.

Currently, 100% of the students have access to a broad course of study and Russell Westbrook Why Not? High School will continue to monitor this to ensure no barriers arise to change access.

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students?

Russell Westbrook Why Not? High School has provided all students with access to a broad course of study. Input from staff and students has led to additional electives to be offered for the upcoming school year. Data will continue to be monitored and revisions made, with implementation as needed.

Additional information about enrollment in courses and the number of courses offered in different subjects at schools is available on the [California Department of Education DataQuest web page](#).